## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

)	
)	
)	
)	CIVIL ACTION NO.: 05CV11716-MLW
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
	))))))))))

## MOTION OF THE DEFENDANT, PETER J. MILLETT, M.D., TO REFER CASE TO THE SUPERIOR COURT TO CONVENE A MEDICAL MALPRACTICE TRIBUNAL

Now comes the defendant, Peter J. Millett, M.D., and moves, pursuant to Massachuset General Laws chapter 231, section 60B, that this Honorable Court refer this case to the Superi Court Department of the Trial Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for the purpose convening a statutorily mandated medical malpractice tribunal. As grounds for this motion, the Brigham and Women's Hospital states as follows:

The plaintiff, Kathleen A. Martin, has filed this case alleging *inter alia* that the defend healthcare providers negligently rendered medical care to her. Under Massachusetts statutory law, a plaintiff making such allegations must present the case to a medical malpractice tribuna Specifically, Massachusetts General Laws chapter 231, section 60B, states that all claims of "malpractice, error or mistake" against a healthcare provider "shall be heard by a tribunal consisting of a single justice of the Superior Court, a physician licensed to practice medicine i the Commonwealth . . . and an attorney authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth." Id

The tribunal "shall determine if the evidence presented if properly substantiated is sufficient to raise a legitimate question of liability appropriate for judicial inquiry or whether the Plaintiff's case is merely an unfortunate medical result." Id.

The laws of this Commonwealth make clear that the Superior Court serves as the appropriate and lone venue to convene a medical malpractice tribunal. See id.; see also, Austi v. Boston Univ. Hosp., 372 Mass. 654, 659 (1977). Where, as here, the case is filed in a court other than the Superior Court, the case must be referred to the Superior Court for consideratio by a tribunal. Id. In applying the holdings of Austin, this Court has recognized the substantiv right of a defendant in a diversity action to have the malpractice claims against it evaluated by Section 60B tribunal. See Byrnes v. Kirby, 453 F. Supp. 1014, 1019 (D.C. Mass. 1978).

In the case at bar, the action for medical malpractice is before this Court on the basis o diversity of citizenship. As a result, the defendant, Peter J. Millett, M.D., (as well as the other medical defendants) has a substantive right to have the claims against it evaluated by a Section 60B tribunal. See id. Accordingly, Peter J. Millett, M.D. seeks referral of this case.

Wherefore, for all of the reasons stated herein, the defendant, Peter J. Millett, M.D., moves, pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws chapter 231, section 60B, that this Honorable Court refer this case to the Superior Court Department of the Trial Court of the

Commonwealth of Massachusetts for the purpose of convening a statutorily mandated medica

malpractice tribunal.

Edward F. Mahofiey (BBO No. 546436) Maria L. Mazur (BBO No. 642612) Attorneys for the Defendant, Brigham and Women's Hospital Martin, Magnuson, McCarthy & Kenney 101 Merrimac Street Boston, MA 02114 (617) 227-3240

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Maria L. Mazur, counsel for defendant, Peter J. Millett, M.D, hereby certify that on t 13th day of September, 2005, I served the foregoing by mailing a copy thereof, postage prepair to:

Andrew Tine, Esq. Haese, LLC 30 Federal Street, 3rd Floor Boston, MA 02110

John Dellea, Esq. Ficksman & Conley 98 N. Washington Street Boston, MA 02114

James Dillon, Esq. Foley Hoag, LLP 155 Seaport Boulevard Boston, MA 02210

> Edward F. Mahoney (BBO No. 546436) Maria L. Mazur (BBØ No. 642612) Attorneys for the Defendant, Brigham and Women's Hospital Martin, Magnuson, McCarthy & Kenney 101 Merrimac Street Boston, MA 02114 (617) 227-3240